River Dove Restoration and Weir Removal: A River Restoration Continuum in Stakeholder Engagement

Zapitis Charitos¹, Andrews Marion¹, Brooks Tim² and Jacklin Tim³ 1. Natural England, 2. Environment Agency, 3. Wild Trout Trust charitos.zapitis@naturalengland.org.uk

Background

> The longitudinal connectivity in highly constructed rivers, such as the River Dove, is imperiled. This hinders the natural hydromorphological processes and fish migration.

Protected features

Brook lamprey Bullhead Sediment flux

Water flow

- Weir removal is pivotal to achieve a dynamic heterogeneous River, and combinations of weirs need to be removed.
- Stakeholders perceive the expected hydrological changes differently based on their interests, and stakeholder views drive the weir removal selection.
- Besides, stakeholder views vary along the river and attitudes change over time
- Weirs successfully removed at the lower and higher reaches where naturalised river trout fishing is favoured.
- Connectivity discontinuation remains at the middle reaches that favour trout stocking and weir pool fishing.

Challenge: working for PEOPLE and working for NATURE

Fourists Anglers **Issue extent** Weir 10 Weir 117 (2) Weir"177" in 1914 Weir 129 Progress achieved

177 weirs in 7 miles

TRUST

Primary users



Weir removal selection factors

- landscape management
 - \succ angling \succ tourism
- naturalness > cultural heritage

habitat connectivity Heritage perspective: 10 weirs need to be restored, 132 can/ be breached or lowered, and 21 can be left to erode naturally

Location



underway

1) removed or lowered only

12 weirs since 2015

2) Identified lateral connectivity sites

and removed bank revetment

3) Condition assessment,

and biological monitoring

4) Large woody material introduced

Effective restoration is subject to the "River Restoration Continuum in Stakeholder Engagement" in both space and time.

> Have we reached the end? or should conservation wait a generation?

> > (1) ©National Trust

References



