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Convener: Andrea s Zitek (AU), secretary: Gerd Marmulla, (FAO)
35 experts, Greg Armstrong (UK), Michel Lariniér (F), H -J.Gebler (D)

Overall tasks
- Produce Best Practice Guides on upstream and downstream fish passage needs and facilities
- Define common methodologies and best practices for assessing fish pass efficiency
- Identify knowledge deficits, both for different species and geographic regions
- Disseminate information through new publications
- Elaborate a common terminology of fish passage facilities throughout Europe

Priority tasks
- Define design criteria for different types of fish passes in relation to fish species and river zones
- Redefine the meaning of “natural fish passes”
- Address the aspects of downstream migration (best practice; R&D needs), particularly for eel
What are nature-like fish passes?

- Structures which mimic the slope, morphology and hydraulic conditions of the stream
- Enable fish of different species and stages to move and migrate – but also:
- Provide suitable habitats for organisms of the river system
- Design is based on natural materials

Under discussion:
- Velocities, turbulence and slopes are higher than in the stream itself, so the fish pass can resemble the next smallest category of river of the biocoenotic region
- Are gentle sloped bypass channels (< 0.5%), typical for low land rivers and suitable for habitats, included?
Guidelines for the design and building of nature-like fish passes

Definitions

- According to location:
  - Full width facility (submerged weir)
  - Partial width facility (ramp)
  - On one bank (bypass channel)
Definitions

- According to ways of dissipating energy:
  - uniform structure (rock ramps, rough ramps)
  - dispersed structure (regularly distributed boulders)
  - pool structure (boulders bars, cascade construction)
Design philosophy

- Bioceonotic region (fish zone), from epirithron to hypopotamon and on species living in this zone (Austria, Germany)
- Size, swimming performance and behaviour of target species (France)

Discussion

- Approach depends on existing legislation
- WFD should help to bridge the differences
Swimming capabilities

- Hydraulic and geometric of nature-like fish ways should be designed in accordance with the fish species concerned (like with other fish pass types)
- Relate fish length with
  - maximum cruising speed
  - maximum burst speed or maximum swimming speed
Flow conditions and design parameters

- Depend on arrangement of blocks and the ways dissipating energy (rough ramps, regularly distributed, pool type)

**Rough ramp fish passes**

- Fish must pass the boulders without resting
- Hydraulic characteristics: flow depth is low and velocity high, dependin on size of blocks and discharge
- Design criteria: maximum slope, max and min discharge which determine max velocity, min depth and max length of the ramps
Distributed boulders structure

- Requirements
- Resting area between boulders
- Possibility of crossing narrow spaces between boulders
- Geometrical dimensions
- Fish characteristics (minimum dimensions)
- Stability of the structure and flow pattern
- Hydraulic characteristics flow patterns and velocity field are very complex (3D field)
- Depend on dimensions, form and spacing of blocks, bottom roughness, slope and discharge
- Design criteria: max velocity, min depth, depending on slope and discharge
Pool structure fish passes

- Requirements similar to technical pool fish passes
- Presence of resting areas, (max volumetric dissipated power)
- Possibility of fish crossing slots (new criteria: 3 x fish width)
- Geometrical dimensions (new criteria: 3 x fish length)
- Hydraulics: pool geometry, drop height, discharge
- Design criteria: max drop (velocity) between pools, minimum flow depth and max volumetric dissipated power
Linkages of fish passes to different measures in HMWB’s

Impact

- Loss of connectivity
  - fish, good/weak swimmers
  - invertebrates
- Loss of reproduction habitats
  - damming rapids to stagnant condition
  - dredged and filled channels
- Discharge patterns
  - regulation
  - dry old channels
  - fish pass flow summer/winter

Mitigation or compensation

- Fish passes
- Nature-like bypass channels
- Constructing new compensative side channels
  - spawning channels
  - rearing channels
  - restoration of dredged rapids
- Environmental flows
  - Minimum flows in hydropower permits
  - Requirements for migration and juvenile habitats
Can we promote fulfilling the demand of WFD by constructing nature-like bypasses?

“…once all mitigation measures have been taken to ensure the best approximation to ecological continuum in particular with respect to migration of fauna and appropriate spawning and breeding grounds”

Kaukas fish pass, R. Keravanjoki, Finland, with Brown trout juveniles
Two approaches to connect the functions of migration and habitats

- Combination: designing the whole channel to a fish pass and for habitats
  Sagarsfors bypass, R.Siuntionjoki, Finland, with habitats

- Diversion in separate channels for migration and reproduction
  Ruppoldigen fish pass and reproduction channel, R.Aare, Switzerland
Largest reproduction channel in Europe
Rheinfelden near Basel

- Lenght: 900 m, width: 60 m, 10-30 m$^3$/s

Rheinfelden spawning habitat April 2012, opened in March

May 2012
Experince from Canada: Constructed spawning and rearing channels to increase reproduction

- **Weaver Creek**
  - **Spawning channel** for Sockeye or Red salmon *Oncorhynchus nerka*
  - regulated discharge 0.43 m³/s, depth 0.24 m, gradient 0.065%
    - length 2.8 km
  - maximazed area by meandering channel

**Results**
- incubating rates of eggs many fold compared to natural rivers
- saved the declining stock
- added value for cathes in the sea
Canada: rearing channels

- **Seton river rearing channel**
  Originally spawning channel for Pink salmon *Onchorhynchus gorbuscha*
  2003 complexing to become rearing channel for Chinook *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* and Steelhead *Oncorhynchus mykiss*,
  - discharge 1.12 m³/s, depth 0.38 m,
  - gradient 0.1% to 0.7%, length 3.8 km

- **Results**
  Juvenile amounts of Pink exceeded the original reproduction
  Succeeded compensation to powerplant construction of British Columbia Hydro
Canada: constructed side channels
Chilliwack River
Spawning and rearing channel for Atlantic salmon
- Dunglass side channel, Conon river, Scotland

- Gradient 0.33 %, minimum flow 0.5 m3/s, length 1 km
- Juvenile rates:
  - age 0+  160 /100m²
  - age 1+  70 /100 m²

Data and photo
Simon McKelvey
2 D flow and habitat modeling of a planned bypass channel
Maximum habitat area and quality with limited discharges

Montta bypass plan
R.Oulujoki,
Finland

- A velocity
- B depth
- C Salmon spawning
- D Salmon rearing
- E Brown trout spawning
- F Brown trout rearing

Modeling: Simo Tammela 2008
Fish preference data based on research of
Aki Mäki-Petäys and Pauliina Louhi
Discussion and conclusions

- The EIFAC guidelines will mostly focus on migration
- WFD requires also mitigation of reproduction
- Advisable to connect reproduction areas with fish pass projects
- One channel saves water compared to separate channels