

PHASE 1
RIVER RESTORATION:
FEASIBILITY STUDY

The River Restoration Project

Compiled
April 1993

ECON
Ecological Consultancy
Biological Sciences
University of East Anglia
Norwich NR4 7TJ

This document should be cited as RRP (1993).

 *the River Restoration Project*

Executive Summary

The River Restoration Project (RRP) aims to implement a series of demonstration projects within the UK that apply state-of-the-art techniques to river restoration. This report evaluates the feasibility of that demonstration phase.

For the RRP to succeed:

- the demonstration projects cannot afford to fail
- they must break new ground
- the knowledge gained from the demonstration project must be applicable to other rivers and help establish a baseline for it to be used elsewhere.
- valuable technical results must be disseminated to educate and stimulate the public as well as the scientific community.

These targets are considered below in the framework of the current state of restoration, the benefits and disbenefits of the potential techniques and the general constraints and opportunities to and for the project. This forms the basis of the feasibility of the demonstration phase.

The nature of river restoration.

River restoration is the complete structural and functional return to the pre-disturbance and self-regulating state. Rivers and their floodplains are prime candidates for restoration as their high intrinsic value (ecological, sociological and economic) has been compromised by systematic degradation.

What is the purpose of restoration?

The primary purpose of restoration is to conserve and promote nature although there are occasions when other reasons (eg. landscape and amenity value) help determine the need for action. With multi-functional requirements (such as flood defence), the partial return to a pre-disturbance state, referred to as rehabilitation, becomes the pragmatic alternative to restoration. Critical evaluation of benefits/disbenefits of the various techniques and measures are limited by a lack of post-project appraisal, although there is a strong suggestion that restoration has many ecological benefits as well as improving landscape and amenity value, water quality, hydrological regime and channel stability.

The basic requirements of river restoration.

Restoration (or rehabilitation) can only be undertaken within the holistic framework of catchment planning. The use of multi-disciplinary teams (ecologists, geomorphologists, hydrologists, engineers etc) and rigorous design within this planning framework are critical. Sympathetic land-use is essential, and although there are opportunities in Britain through bringing land out of arable production, the available schemes for financial subsidy are only loosely applicable to rivers. Perhaps more importantly, much land is privately owned and is often divided between many people within a river catchment making complete control of land use difficult.

Most techniques for restoration involve reinstating physical habitat, the loss of which is often perceived to be the primary limiting factor. However, water quality and quantity are also of

prime consideration, and although improving physical parameters may have a beneficial influence upon water quantity and quality, the latter should generally be addressed, before physical restorative measures are implemented.

Plan of action

The most appropriate course of action towards the reinstatement of a natural river regime is to exploit the potential for natural recovery. Where potential is high, recovery may be enhanced by reducing the appropriate stresses. This may simply require non-structural techniques, such as changes in land-use. Where natural recovery potential is low, more direct intervention and the use of structural techniques may be required. However, this should only be undertaken to the level at which recovery of natural form and function within a suitable time-scale is likely. The choice of structural techniques for bank stabilisation and instream modification is wide, but, as a general rule, only local natural materials should be used, supplemented by artificial materials only where absolutely necessary.

British Coal Opencast

The River Restoration Project gratefully acknowledges the important contribution made by British Coal Opencast in sponsoring this Feasibility Study, which brings together existing knowledge of river restoration and enhancement work both in the United Kingdom and abroad.

The restoration of riverine environments represents a significant challenge, and the contribution made by British Coal Opencast is an excellent example of their commitment to environmental improvement through better knowledge and practice which will be of benefit to both wildlife and those whose livelihood or recreation depends on rivers.

The Opencast sector of British Coal has produced quality coal for over half a century from the shallow deposits found in the traditional mining areas of England, Scotland and Wales. Prior to the mining process surface features of natural interest may be conserved, translocated, or even enhanced. On restoration new features may be introduced adding diversity of topography and wildlife in landscapes greatly affected by past mining, intensive farming or industrial processes. Opencast's technical skill in land rehabilitation is now recognised as the finest in the world in terms of quality and variety.

Opencast works with a range of statutory bodies, research establishments and conservation groups in pursuit of improved knowledge, including county wildlife trusts, the Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust, the RSPB, Hawk and Owl Trust, Badger protection groups and the successor bodies to the Nature Conservancy Council, English Nature, the Countryside Council for Wales and the Scottish Natural Heritage.

Many new nature reserves have been created following surface mining. Where opencast mining requires the diversion and subsequent re

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
1. AIM	1
2. INTRODUCTION	1
2.1 Information Gathering	1
2.1.1 Literature search	1
2.1.2 Contact with practitioners	2
2.1.3 Site visits	2
3 BACKGROUND INFORMATION	3
Abstract	3
3.1 Introduction	3
3.2 The context of restoration	4
3.2.1 Definition of terms	4
3.2.2 Restoration as the desired option	5
Constraints of restoration	6
Potential of restoration	6
3.3 The restoration of rivers	7
3.3.1 Rivers and their floodplains-potential for restoration	7
3.3.2 River degradation	9
Reasons	10
Extent	11
3.3.3 The nature of the stresses	15
3.3.4 The scope for natural recovery	15
Summary	19
4 DESCRIPTION OF THE BROAD RANGE OF TECHNIQUES THAT MAY BE EMPLOYED IN RESTORATION	20
Abstract	20
4.1 Introduction	20
4.2 Non-structural techniques	21
4.2.1 Catchment planning	21
4.2.2 Land Use Change	23
Set-aside	24
Countryside Premium Scheme	24
Environmentally Sensitive Areas	24
Countryside Stewardship Scheme	26
4.2.3 Species-centred restoration	26
4.3 Structural techniques	27
4.3.1 Channel and in-channel	27
<u>Reinstating natural channels</u>	27
High energy	27
Low energy	27
<u>Alternative techniques and channel designs</u>	30
Stream renovation	30
Multi-stage channels	31
Berms	31
Embankments	32
Bypass and diversion channels	32
4.3.2 Bank modifications	32
<u>Natural materials</u>	33



	Willow and other trees	33
	Stumps and logs	34
	Emergent vegetation	34
	Bankside vegetation	35
	<u>Artificial alternatives</u>	35
	Geotextiles	35
	Rip-rap	38
	Blocks of natural stone	41
	Gabions	41
	Current deflectors	41
4.3.3.	In-stream modifications	43
	<u>Substrate reinstatement</u>	43
	Cleaning of natural gravels	43
	Physical reintroduction of substrate	44
	<u>Instream modification using natural materials</u>	45
	Debris and boulders	45
	Channel vegetation	46
	<u>Instream modification using artificial means</u>	46
	Current deflectors	47
	Sediment and gravel traps	49
	Drop structures	49
4.3.4	Riparian zone and floodplain restoration	53
	<u>Reinstatement of natural systems</u>	53
	Riparian zones and floodplains	53
	Livestock control	55
	<u>Creation of alternative systems</u>	55
	Buffer strips/zones	55
	Wetland creation for biological pollution control	56
	Flood storage areas	57
	Summary	58
5	IDENTIFICATION OF THE BENEFITS AND DISBENEFITS OF RIVER RESTORATION MEASURES	59
	Abstract	59
5.1	Introduction	59
5.2	Non-structural techniques	60
5.3	Structural techniques	60
5.3.1	Channel and in-channel	60
	<u>Reinstating natural channels</u>	61
	<u>Alternative techniques and channel design</u>	62
	Stream renovation	62
	Multi-stage channels	63
	Berms and channel narrowing	64
	Containing and diverting flow	64
5.3.2	Bank modifications	65
5.3.3	Instream modifications	66
	<u>Substrate reinstatement</u>	66
	<u>Instream modification</u>	68
	Current deflectors	68
	Drop structures	69
5.3.4	Riparian and floodplain restoration	70
	<u>Reinstatement of natural systems</u>	70
	<u>Creation of artificial systems</u>	71
	Buffer strips/zones	71



Wetland creation for biological pollution control	74
Summary	75
6. THE SCOPE FOR THE RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT	76
Abstract	76
6.1 Introduction	76
6.2 Targets of the RRP	77
6.3 Planning a restoration project	78
6.3.1 Reasons to restore	78
6.3.2 Planning and legislative framework	79
6.3.3 Structure of the technical group	79
6.3.4 Sympathetic land use	81
6.3.5 Techniques for restoration	81
6.3.6 Finances	82
6.4 Site selection	83
6.4.1 The selection of river type	83
6.4.2 The election of a suitable river and areas within it	85
British Coal Opencast	86
Potential sites	87
Summary	89

APPENDICES

1 Case studies of current river restoration projects	91
Britain and British Coal Opencast	93
Germany	113
Denmark and the Netherlands	139
United States	147
2 Contacts	157
National	157
International	160
3 Library of information	165
4 Terms of reference	185

TABLES

Table 1 Evaluation of recovery characteristics of ecosystems	7
Table 2 Summary of the extent of land use change affecting rivers	14
Table 3 Channel recovery characteristics according to stream power	18
Table 4 Examples of the general criteria and payments for ESA schemes	25
Table 5 The mix used by Thames region of the NRA in urban streams	44
Table 6 Summary of studies on buffer strips	72
Table 7 Brief details of notable improvement schemes in seven regions of the NRA	94
Table 8 Comparison of the opportunities and constraints of restoration according to river type	84
Table 9 Simple subjective consideration of three potential sites for the demonstration project of the RRP	87

FIGURES

Fig 1	Differences in the relationships between the channel and riparian zone or floodplain depending on the size of the watercourse	8
Fig 2	The pathways of riverine modification	10
Fig 3	The relative importance of physical, biological and chemical factors in river degradation as perceived by NRA personnel	12
Fig 4	The relative importance of the reasons for river engineering as perceived by NRA personnel	16
Fig 5	The techniques considered in chapter 4	22
Fig 6	Techniques for the installation of fibre matting to support plants	36
Fig 7	Techniques for the installation of fibre rolls to support plants	37
Fig 8	Techniques for the installation of rip-rap and turves to produce an amenity area	38
Fig 9	Techniques for the installation of brushwood with rip-rap to resist strong flows	39
Fig 10	Techniques for the installation of a) willow with rip-rap to resist strong flows and b) willow fascine	40
Fig 11	Using deposition and erosion for bank stabilisation and instream modification	42
Fig 12	Typical direct cover and bank protection structure	47
Fig 13	Examples of direct cover and drop structures and gravel traps	50
Fig 14	Examples of deflectors and a direct cover structure	51
Fig 15	Variability in the recommended width of buffer zones between and within possible functions	73
Fig 16	The Leitbild concept as used in Germany	80
Fig 17	Plan of the works to be undertaken in a restoration project	88